

IN THE MATTER OF	*	BEFORE THE
JAMIE GILLIAM	*	MARYLAND STATE
Respondent	*	BOARD OF PHYSICIANS
License Number: (Unlicensed)	*	Case Number: 2225-0157 A

* * * * *

CEASE AND DESIST ORDER

Pursuant to the authority granted to Disciplinary Panel A (“Panel A”) of the Maryland State Board of Physicians (the “Board”) under Md. Code Ann., Health Occ. 14-206(e)(1) and (2)(i) (2021 Repl. Vol. & 2024 Supp.), Panel A hereby orders **JAMIE GILLIAM** (the “Respondent”), an unlicensed individual, to immediately **CEASE AND DESIST** from representing to the public, by description of services, methods, or procedures, or otherwise, that she is authorized to practice medicine in the State of Maryland.

The pertinent provisions of the Maryland Medical Practice Act, Health Occ. §§ 14-101 *et seq.*, under which Panel A issues this Order provide the following:

§ 14-206. Judicial Powers.

...

- (e) *Cease and desist orders; injunctions.* – A disciplinary panel may issue a cease and desist order or obtain injunctive relief against an individual for:
 - (1) Practicing a profession regulated under this title or Title 15 of this article without a license;
 - (2) Representing to the public, by title, description of services, methods, procedures, or otherwise, that the individual is authorized to practice:
 - (i) Medicine in this State, in violation of §14-602 of this title[.]

§ 14-602. Misrepresentation as Practitioner of Medicine.

- (a) Unless authorized to practice medicine under this title, a person may not represent to the public, by description of services, methods, or procedures, or otherwise, that the person is authorized to practice medicine in this State.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in this article, a person may not use the words or terms “Dr.”, “doctor”, “physician”, “D.O.”, or “M.D.” with the intent to represent that the person practices medicine, unless the person is:
 - (1) Licensed to practice medicine under this title;
 - (2) A physician licensed by and residing in another jurisdiction, while engaging in consultation with a physician licensed in this State;
 - (3) A physician employed by the federal government while performing duties incident to that employment;
 - (4) A physician who resides in and is licensed to practice medicine by any state adjoining this State and whose practice extends into this State;
 - (5) An individual in a postgraduate medical program that is accredited by an accrediting organization recognized by the Board in regulations while the individual is practicing medicine in the program; or
 - (6) A licensee who is on emeritus status under § 14-320.1 of this title, provided that the licensee does not represent to the public that the licensee is authorized to practice medicine in the State.

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS¹

Based on the investigatory information received by, made known to, and available to Panel A, there is reason to believe that the following facts are true:

¹ The statements regarding the Board’s investigative findings are intended to provide the Respondent with reasonable notice of the basis of the Board’s action. They are not intended as, and do not necessarily represent, a complete description of the evidence, either documentary or testimonial, to be offered against the Respondent in connection with this matter.

I. BACKGROUND AND COMPLAINT

1. The Respondent is not and has never been licensed to practice medicine in the State of Maryland. The Respondent holds a Ph.D. in Integrative Medicine, which is not a clinical degree and does not confer authority to practice medicine or to represent oneself as a licensed physician in Maryland.

2. On December 13, 2024, the Board received a complaint from a consumer alleging that the Respondent was representing herself as a physician and providing medical services through her wellness clinic, despite not holding a license to practice medicine in the State of Maryland.

3. After receiving the Complaint, the Board initiated an investigation of the Respondent.

II. BOARD INVESTIGATION

4. As part of its investigation, the Board obtained communications between the Respondent and the complainant, marketing and intake documents from the Respondent's wellness clinic (the "Clinic"), and a copy of a laboratory order submitted in connection with the complainant's care. The Board also received a written response from the Respondent. The investigation sought to determine whether the Respondent represented herself to the public as a physician and whether her conduct constituted the unauthorized practice of medicine in the State of Maryland.

5. At all relevant times, the Respondent was the owner and operator of the Clinic. Advertising materials and client intake documents described the clinic's services as including lab testing and individualized health programs.

6. The public website for the clinic describes the Respondent as “Dr. Jamie” and promotes her as a “Dr.” of integrative medicine. The clinic offers a variety of services, including wellness evaluations, lab testing, supplement protocols, detoxification, weight loss, and chronic condition management. The clinic advertises programs targeting patients with conditions such as hormone imbalances, thyroid dysfunction, fatigue, digestive issues, and inflammation.

7. Under Maryland law, an individual must be licensed by the Board to practice medicine or to represent themselves as authorized to do so. The Respondent has never been licensed to practice medicine in the State of Maryland.

8. As the Respondent is not licensed to practice medicine in Maryland, she is not permitted to use titles that imply she is a physician, including “Dr.” in a clinical context. Despite this, the Respondent refers to herself as “Dr. Jamie” on her clinic’s website and in communications with clients. The Respondent’s intake documents, client communications, and marketing materials consistently refer to her using the title “Dr.” in connection with services that constitute or closely resemble the practice of medicine.

9. In summary, the Board finds that the Respondent is not licensed to practice medicine in Maryland but has nonetheless represented to the public that she is a physician. This includes presenting herself as “Dr. Jamie” and offering clinical services without clearly disclosing any physician involvement. When questioned, the Respondent claimed that “physician oversight” was provided through third-party platforms. The Respondent has taken minimal steps to clarify her lack of licensure as a physician and has continued to operate in a manner that creates the misleading impression that she is authorized to practice medicine in the State of Maryland.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing Investigative Findings, Panel A concludes as a matter of law that the Respondent represented to the public by title, by description of services, methods, or procedures, or otherwise, that she was authorized to practice medicine in the State of Maryland, in violation of Health Occ. § 14-602.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Investigative Findings and Conclusions of Law, it is, by a majority of the quorum of Panel A, hereby:

ORDERED that pursuant to the authority under the Maryland Medical Practice Act, Health Occ. § 14-206(e)(1) and (2)(i), the Respondent, Jamie Gilliam, shall **IMMEDIATELY CEASE AND DESIST** from representing to the public that she is authorized to practice medicine in the State of Maryland; and it is further

ORDERED that this order is **EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY** pursuant to Md. Code Regs. 10.32.02.11E(1)(b); and it is further

ORDERED that this is a **PUBLIC DOCUMENT** pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Gen. Prov. §§ 4-101 *et seq.* and Md. Code Regs. 10.32.02.11E(1)(a).

06/12/2025
Date

Signature on file

Christine A. Farrelly
Executive Director
Maryland State Board of Physicians

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The Respondent may challenge the factual or legal basis of this initial order by filing a written opposition, which may include a request for a hearing, within 30 days of its issuance. The written opposition shall be made to:

Christine A. Farrelly
Executive Director
Maryland State Board of Physicians
4201 Patterson Avenue, 4th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21215

A copy shall also be mailed to:

Mohamad Cheikhali
Assistant Attorney General
Maryland Office of the Attorney General
Health Occupations Prosecution and Litigation Division
300 West Preston Street, Suite 201
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

If the Respondent files a written opposition and a request for a hearing, the Board shall consider that opposition and provide a hearing if requested. If the Respondent does not file a timely written opposition, the Respondent will lose the right to challenge this Initial Order to Cease and Desist and this Order will remain in effect.